

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,633	01/22/2002	Niall R. Lynam	DON01 P-962	2 5792	
28101	7590 04/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VAN DYKE	E, GARDNER, LINN ANI	NEGRON, ISMAEL			
2851 CHARL	EVOIX DRIVE, S.E.	DIX DRIVE, S.E.			
P.O. BOX 88			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49588-8695			2875		
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# **Advisory Action**

LYNAM ET AL.	
•	

	10/004,000	ETTAMINET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ismael Negron	2875					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The a)          The period for reply expires months from the mailin b)          The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A     </li> </ol>	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	*	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 130-184, 251 and 252. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	<b>—</b>	· · · —	_				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other: See Attachments.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's after-final amendment filed on February 22, 2005 has been entered.

Claim 130 has been amended. No claim has been added or cancelled. Claims 130
184, 251 and 252 are still pending in this application, with claim 130 being independent.

### Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed February 22, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Regarding the Examiner's rejection of Claim 130 (as amended) under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by BOS et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,671,996) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over BOS et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,671, 996) in view of COLLINS et al. (U.S. Pat. 3,676,668), the applicant argues that the cited reference fails to disclose all the features of the claimed invention, specifically the LED being powered by a voltage conversion element having a step-down ratio of at least 2 to 1.
- 4. In response to applicant's arguments against BOS et al., the applicant is advised that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case, while BOS et al. and COLLINS et al. fail to explicitly disclosed

Art Unit: 2875

the claimed voltage conversion element, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that such voltage conversion element was a requirement of the patented structure of BOS et al. as most LED have an operating voltage of less than 5 volts, while the voltage provided by conventional vehicle electrical systems is 14 volts. Stepping down such 14 volts to 5 volts would meet the claimed "at least 2 to 1 step-down ratio" limitation.

5. While the field amendments fail to place the application in condition for allowance they have been entered because they are considered to strengthen applicant's position for appeal.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ismael Negron whose telephone number is (571) 272-2376. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea, can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The facsimile machine number for the Art Group is (703) 872-9306.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2875

published applications maybe obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, go to <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) toll-free at 866-217-9197.



March 28, 2005

JOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINER